

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/910,399 07/20/2001 Michael W. LaCourt 961_006 9658	
20874 7590 10/03/2003 EXAMINER	
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI LUDLOW, JAN M	
101 SOUTH SALINA STREET SUITE 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	R]
SYRACUSE, NY 13202 1743	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	(Annilia Ma)
	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Óffica Action Summan	09/910,399	LACOURT ET AL.
Óffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communic Peri d for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse is always to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended peri	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO itll, by statute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on	
2a) This action is FINAL .	b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	for allowance except for formal ma ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,
8) Claim(s) 1-73 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	· •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority de 	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal* See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
a) The translation of the foreign language. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3



Application/Control Number: 09/910,399

Art Unit: 1743

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, 41-60 drawn to a sample handler, classified in class 422, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 15-40, drawn to an analyzer, classified in class 422, subclass 63.
- III. Claims 61-73, drawn to a method, classified in class 436, subclass 174.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions III and [I, II] are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to store or analyze samples, nit requiring the use of the sealed tip in an analyzer.
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the analyzer doesn't require the sealable tips of the sample handler. The subcombination has separate utility such as storing samples for manual testing.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,399

Art Unit: 1743

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Bilinski on September 26, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (703) 308-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

*Application/Control Number: 09/910,399

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

> Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml September 29, 2003